

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,308	03/14/2001	Niina Laaksonen	4925-105PUS	8211
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael C Stuart			KHUONG, LEE T	
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane 551 Fifth Avenue Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10176			2665	TAILK HOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/787,308	LAAKSONEN, NIINA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lee Khuong	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
AMaahman/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer:	(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	o,					

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. On page 2, lines 8-10, --upon an occurrence of the admission control of requests for bearers that are allowed to comprise controllable load components and non-controllable load components--, this limitation is not supported in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Acampora et al. (US 5,497,504), hereafter is referred as Acampora.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,308

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Acampora teaches a System and Method For Connection

Control In Mobile Communications. The system comprises the sequential steps of:

controllable connections (*controllable load components*) see col. 5 lines 29-42. The system also comprises real-time class I or type A connections (*non-controllable traffic load components*), see col. 5 lines 38-39 and col. 6 lines 41-56,

Acampora is shown in step 509 Fig. 5) that sets criteria for non-controllable traffic load (class I/type A, real-time traffic) components (the 1st kind of test sets standard for the class I or type A connection request) in a similar way for all bearer requests (see col. 5, lines 29-42, controllable load components and col. 7, lines 42-62, real time traffic connections using the class I or type A standard Fig. 5. In first test of Acampora, class I, class II, and class III are tested in the same way; therefore, it meets the required limitation "similar way" of claim 1); and

testing said bearer request (the type A connection request) with a second kind (a 2nd kind of test, step 515 Fig. 5, see col. 7, line 63 – col. 8, line 14);

wherein said first kind of test monitors bearers that present to the network a non-controllable load component which exceeds a predefined threshold (the local bandwidth policy is used to monitor a real-time connection that whether the sharing bandwidth of the connection is satisfied or not, see col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 1-3), and the admission of said bearer request to the network depends on results of both said first kind of test and said second kind of test (the requested connection is granted after the local bandwidth sharing policy of cell-cluster 45 is satisfied, see col. 7, lines 3-9).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 2, Acampora teaches the limited number connections of class I tested based on the history of the call traffic of Fig. 3, see col. 6, lines 41-67 and col. 7, lines 1-15 (said test of a first kind is based on statistical properties of bearers).

Regarding claim 3, Acampora teaches the 2nd kind of test in which the current type A connections is compared and satisfied with the local policy of sharing bandwidth, see col. 7 lines 5-12, 60-67 and col. 8 lines 1-3 (said test of a second kind the number of currently existing high load bearers).

Regarding claim 4, Acampora teaches the sum of bandwidth of type A connections and the requesting type A connections is compared and satisfied with the local policy of sharing bandwidth, see col. 7 lines 5-12 (said test of a second kind the sum of bit rates of currently existing high load bearers and of the requested bearer is compared to a predefined threshold).

Regarding claim 5, Acampora teaches the admission criteria of the 1st test are changed according to the rejection of the 2nd test. In Acampora, if the local bandwidth sharing (test of second kind) is rejected namely a result, then the allowable number of type A connections are updated (*criteria of said test of first kind are changed*) to meet the bandwidth condition that bases on the rejection by using the history of the call traffic, see col. 6 lines 55-67 and col. 7 lines 1-5.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 6, Acampora teaches the respective bandwidth of class I (admission threshold) is changed if a bandwidth of class II exceeded its respective bandwidth, see col. 7 lines 5-10.

Regarding claim 7, Acampora teaches the respective bandwidth of class I (admission threshold) is changed if a bandwidth of class III exceeded its respective bandwidth, see col. 7 lines 5-10.

Regarding claim 9, Acampora discloses the network element is a radio network controller (cell-cluster radio controller 29, Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-46, the cell-cluster controller grants or rejects a call request).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/2/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding to applicant's argument on page 5, lines 20-24, examiner would like to direct applicant's attention to Acampora, col. 5, lines 29-42, controllable load components and col. 7, lines 42-62, real time traffic/non-controllable load components, connections using the class I or type A standard Fig. 5. In first test of Acampora, class I, class II, and class III are tested in the same way; therefore, it meets the required limitation "testing a bearer request with a first kind of test that sets criteria for non-controllable traffic load components in a similar way for all bearer requests" of claims 1 and 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,308

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

Page 6

- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Khuong whose telephone number is 571-272-3157. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM 5PM.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,308

Art Unit: 2665

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee T. Khuong

Examiner Art Unit 2665

> HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600